

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,275	11/17/2003	Isamu Kobori	07977-024004	1472	
26171	7590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022			ROSE, KIESHA L		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2822		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2000	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ESU	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/713,275	KOBORI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kiesha L. Rose	2822	

	Kiesha L. Rose	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	. ,				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the contraction of t	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	∐ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an ϵ	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		•				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because: :			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. [] Oulet		Michael Trinin				
	F	Michael Trinin				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the Yamazaki reference and the Ogawa reference. The Ogawa reference discloses a second insulating layer that has a leveled upper surface and shows in Fig. 8 that it is leveled to allow for other layers to be formed. In addition the claimed invention does not disclosed any criticality as to why the second insulating layer has to have a leveled upper surface. In regards to the Yamazaki reference not indicating that further layers would be formed thereon, an encapsulate is going to be formed on the final package when placing it on a wafer. Therefore the Ogawa reference discloses the second insulating layer to have a leveled upper surface and there is a motivation to combine the Yamazaki and Ogawa reference. Therefore the rejection stands.

Michael Trinin Primary Examiner